The Standing Committee on Statutory Instruments has the honour to present its

SEVENTH REPORT

Your committee has reviewed all Regulations presently in force under the Public Service Commission Ordinance and a section by section analysis of the Public Service Commission Regulations is appended as Appendix I to this report.

In conducting this Regulation review your committee has considered the regulation making powers of the Public Service Commission Ordinance. Your committee wishes to present the following general comments on the existing Regulations to this House.

Section 209 of the Public Service Commission Ordinance contains much of the regulation making authority in the Ordinance. Other powers are required by or contained in sections such as 73, 89, 207, 208 and 210, however these sections are not summarized or cross-referenced in section 209. This lack of cross-referencing creates confusion when the specific authority for a Regulation is sought.

Section 209(1) contains a general regulation making power while 209(2) enumerates 22 specific headings under which regulations can be made. Of the 22 subjects listed only 11 have any other substantive base in the Ordinance. Where items such as hours of work, or statutory holidays are prescribed no problem is created by the lack of other substantive authority. However where important items such as

.../2

leave entitlements, acting pay and terms and conditions of employment are involved, your committee believes there must be more substantive authority than there is presently contained in Section 209 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends that at least the general substantive sections presently in Regulations be included in the Ordinance and procedural details can then be contained in Regulations.

Your committee further does not consider paragraph 9(1)(2) as sufficient substantive law on which to promulgate some twenty sections of regulations (Sections 150 - 170 of the Regulations) respecting security clearances which, by their very nature, must seriously affect every employee's privacy, personal lives and promotional opportunities.

As your committee has stated in previous reports to this House, Regulations should be limited to (1) matters that are subject to change, faster than the legislative process permits, (2) matters which cannot be totally forseen at the time legislation is drafted and where the public interest requires prompt action , and (3) matters of such a routine nature and that are within acceptable public policy guidelines that the cost of formal legislative amendment cannot be justified, such as changes to schedule of fees.

A review of Appendix I indicates that the subjects covered in Regulations far exceed the points covered above. In fact many sections make substantive law. This House has not had the opportunity to discuss and consider a great many sections substantially affecting the rights and privileges of employees of this government.

-2-

.../3

As a review of Appendix I will indicate, your committee has recommended 84 sections of the present Regulations be included in the Ordinance by way of amendment. These sections have been chosen because they contain substantive provisions affecting employees rights and privileges and are of general application and must be reviewed by this House. These sections are 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 12, 18, 26, 30, 32, 33, 34, 37, 46, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 95, 97, 98, 104, 105, 108, 109, 110, 114, 115, 116, 120, 125, 135, 137, 138, 142, 146, 149, 150 - 170 inclusive, 180, 188, 197, 199, 200, 201, and 204.

Your committee has further recommended that 51 sections be eliminated from the Regulations because they are redundant of the Ordinance. Of these 51 sections, about half are verbatim repetition with the remaining sections being paraphrased from the Ordinance. The paraphrased sections are confusing and make interpretation by Management and labour difficult. While it might be useful to quote a phrase or section from an Ordinance for clarity and convenience, in regulations, endless redundency is unnecessary and can frequently cause potential conflicts between the Ordinance and the Regulations.

At present a review of the Public Service Commission Ordinance and its Regulations creates in the reader's mind the impression that scissors and paste were taken to an early draft of the present Ordinance and vast sections were removed to Regulations while other sections were copied or paraphrased from the Ordinance to try to create a set of Regulations that could be read and followed with no reference to the Ordinance. The paraphrasing of sections from Ordinance to Regulations has resulted in 22 sections of Regulations with real or potential conflicts with the parent Ordinance. A further nine sections are in need of immediate review and redrafting for clarity and continuity.

-3-

.../4

Sections of the Regulations with real or potential conflict between the Ordinance and the Regulations are 12, 13, 22, 24, 28, 31, 32, 42, 46, 50, 100, 101, 106, 107, 131, 132, 143, 147, 177, 181, 189 and 196.

Sections of the Regulations which require redrafting urgently are 85, 86, 87, 90, 91, 92, 93, 120 and 130.

Your committee further found 25 sections of Regulations which the Committee considered to be an abuse of the general regulation making power provided in Section 209(1). These sections were 32, 33, 34, 46, 150 - 170 inclusive and 204 of the Regulations. While your Committee agrees that in many Ordinances a general regulation making power is necessary to protect the public interest by enabling the Administration to respond to new situations, your Committee believes that the sections noted above deal with matters that should have been provided for in the legislation originally or which should have been brought forward as amendments to the Ordinance, not as Regulations. While your committee does not dispute the authority for these Regulations in Section 209(1) of the Ordinance, your committee cannot condone such a practice and has therefore recommended that these sections along with many others be included in the Ordinance in that they create substantive law of general application seriously affecting employees' rights and duties.

Your committee has further reviewed the following Commissioner's Orders:

1976/165 - Brought Public Service Commission Ordinance Regulations into force July 5, 1976.

-4-

1976/261 - Review and staff establishment of the Public Service effective July 1976 pursuant to Section 82(1) of the Public Service Commission Ordinance.

-5-

- 1977/103 Amends the schedule to the Public Service Commission Ordinance:
 - Director of Game deleted Director of Wildlife substituted;
 - Director of Liquor Control deleted General Manager, Yukon Liquor Corporation substituted;
 - 3) Director of Resource Planning added;

effective July 1, 1977. Although the authority is not cited, this Order is made pursuant to paragraph 209(2)(t).

1977/215 - 1977 staff establishment as per Section 82(1) of the Ordinance.

1978/40 - Establishing fees and expenses for Chairman - Classification
Appeals Board. Although not cited the authority for this
Order is Section 41 of the Ordinance.

To summarize, your committee respectfully recommends that:

1) The Administration review the present Regulations in depth.

2) That all sections presently in Regulations containing substantive law affecting the rights, privileges, duties and obligations of employees be reviewed with a view to placing them in the Ordinance by way of amendment.

3) That all redundancy in the present Regulations whether verbatim or paraphrased be eliminated.

4) That all sections recommended for review or redrafting, whether as a result of real or potential conflicts or for clarity, be reviewed and amended as required.

5) That those sections your committee has cited as possible abuses of the general regulation making power be reviewed and amendments proposed as needed.

6) That the practice of repeating or paraphrasing vast sections of legislation in Regulations cease and that when occasionally such references are used they be by way of properly cited direct quotation from the Ordinance, eliminating unnecessary confusion and conflict.

7) That the specific authority for all new Regulation sections or amendments be cited in the Regulations for user convenience.

Your committee further recommends that a copy of the Report be transmitted to Dr. A. M. Pearson, Executive Committee Member responsible for the Public Service Commission, for his consideration and action.

Respectfully submitted

ORIGINAL SIGNED DY

June 28, 1978

Bob Fleming Chairman

-6-

APPENDIX I

Section by section analysis of the Public Service Commission Regulations.

Section 1 - Short title, no comment.

<u>Section 2</u> - States regulations cover all employees however this statement could conflict with an action by the Commissioner under Section 210 of the Ordinance, where on the advice of the Public Service Commissioner, the Commissioner may exclude any employee from the provisions of the Ordinance. Further there is a potential for conflict with a collective agreement which governs bargaining unit employees.

Your committee recommends that:

- this section of the Regulations be reviewed and a procedure implemented to ensure no conflicts develop inadvertantly in future between this section of the Regulations and Section 210 of the Ordinance;
- 2) a procedure be implemented to ensure that no unexpected differences develop between bargaining unit and non-bargaining unit employees with respect to rights, benefits or responsibilities; as a result of the existence of this section of the Regulations and Section 210 of the Ordinance.

<u>Section 3</u> - This section paraphrases sections 10, 18 and 19 of the Ordinance, creating confusion between the Ordinance and the Regulations. Further, the section does not outline the procedure as is envisaged by sections 10, 18 and 19 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends redrafting.

<u>Section 4</u> - This section limits the period of time a person may be re-appointed as a Deputy Head to five years. Section 13 of the Ordinance dealing with the same subject gives no time limit. Your committee considers such a time limit a change in the substantive law and as such should be in the Ordinance not in Regulations. Your committee recommends review of this section with a view to including the time limit in the Ordinance. appendix I (2)

<u>Sections 5, 6 and 7</u> - These sections deal with the termination of a Deputy Head and are in fact substantive law. As such your committee believes they should be included in the Ordinance not in Regulations.

<u>Section 8</u> - This section deals with the termination of a Deputy Head. Under our legislation many Deputy Heads have specific duties and responsibilities which are separate from their normal administrative and executive functions. Such duties are usually laid out in legislation other than the Public Service Commission Ordinance. For consistency your committee recommends this section be amended to provide a mechanism for the revocation of appointments under "other" legislation.

<u>Section 9</u> - This section simply restates matters dealt with in the Ordinance. While no actual conflict exists with the parent legislation your committee dislikes the practice of restating the Ordinance in 'Regulations since it frequently does cause conflicts. This section should be eliminated.

<u>Section 10</u> - This section is substantive law enabling the Commissioner to exercise considerable power over a Deputy Head. Your committee believes such a section should be included in the Ordinance, not in Regulations.

<u>Section 11</u> - This section is a paraphrasing of sections 12, 13, and 159 • of the Ordinance and therefore redundant. Your committee strongly recommends such redundancy and confusion be eliminated from the Regulations, by removing this section.

<u>Section 12</u> - This section is authorized by section 209 (2) (t) of the Ordinance. However as the section adds the requirement of a recommendation from the Public Service Commissioner it involves a substantive change in the law and your committee believes should be included in the Ordinance. appendix I (3)

<u>Section 13</u> - This section appears as Section 25 in the Ordinance where it is worded permissively, not absolutely. Your committee recommends this section be repealed to remove the conflict.

<u>Section 14</u> - This section is acceptable.

<u>Section 15</u> - This section is redundant of section 24 of the Ordinance and your committee recommends its elimination from the Regulations.

<u>Section 16</u> - This section paraphrases sections 24 and 25 of the Ordinance and is redundant. Since the part of the section dealing with notice is not covered in the Ordinance and is considered necessary the section is recommended for review and redrafting.

<u>Section 17</u> - This section is redundant of section 23(1) of the Ordinance and your committee recommends it be eliminated as it is considered confusing and unnecessary.

<u>Section 18</u> - This section makes substantive law affecting a substantial section of the public service and as such should be included in the Ordinance and your committee so recommends.

Section 19 - This section is concise and appropriate. No recommendation.

<u>Section 20</u> - This section is redundant of section 36 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends it be eliminated.

<u>Section 21</u> - This section is concise and reasonable from an administrative viewpoint. No recommendation.

<u>Section 22</u> - This section conflicts with section 39 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends this section be eliminated as Section 39 of the Ordinance states the law. <u>Section 23</u> - This section is redundant of the Ordinance and should be eliminated. Your committee so recommends.

<u>Section 24</u> - This section conflicts with section 38 of the Ordinance and therefore your committee recommends it be eliminated.

<u>Section 25</u> - This section is a paraphrase of section 42 and 46 of the Ordinance and as such is redundant and confusing. Your committee recommends it be eliminated.

<u>Section 26</u> - This section is in fact substantive law and should be in the Ordinance. Your committee recommends it be included after section 63 to keep all related sections together, not split between the Ordinance and Regulations as they are at present.

<u>Section 27</u> - This section restates a fact dealt with in section 47 of the Ordinance and as such is unnecessary, however since it does identify the section in question and does not conflict with it, it is simply redundant and should be removed.

<u>Section 28</u> - This section appears to conflict with section 50 of the Ordinance by infringing on the jurisdiction of the Classification Appeal Board's jurisdiction. Your committee recommends the section be reviewed and either eliminated or redrafted.

<u>Section 29</u> - Since the Ordinance permits the Public Service Commissioner to review any position at any time this section appears to be irrelevant. Your committee recommends a thorough review.

<u>Section 30</u> - Since this section grants a substantive right of appeal your committee recommends it be included in the Ordinance.

<u>Section 31</u> - This section is redundant of section 50 of the Ordinance and might be considered to impugn the jurisdiction of the Classification Appeals Board. Your committee recommends review and either admendment or elimination. <u>Section 32</u> - This section appears to conflict with sections 50, 51, 56 and 57 of the Ordinance. Further your committee believes this section to be an improper exercise of the general regulation making power contained in section 209(1) of the Ordinance.

<u>Sections 33 and 34</u> - Your committee considers these sections, though technically acceptable, to be contrary to the spirit and intent of sections 40, 42, and 51 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends they be included in the Ordinance, not in regulations.

<u>Section 35</u> - This section could easily be included in the Ordinance and should be for reasons of continuity. Otherwise no recommendation.

<u>Section 36</u> - Redundant of section 63 (1) of the Ordinance and as such your committee recommends its elimination.

Section 37 - This section contains substantive law and should have been included in the Ordinance. Your committee so recommends.

<u>Section 38</u> - Acceptable, however your committee recommends that all forms in use be prescribed as a schedule to the regulations and procedures detailed in the regulations.

Section 39 - No recommendation.

<u>Section 40</u> - This section paraphrases Section 21 of the Ordinance and as such is not only redundant but confusing. Your committee recommends this section be eliminated.

<u>Section 41</u> - This section makes important substantive law of a general nature and as such your committee recommends it be included in the Ordinance.

appendix I (6)

<u>Section 42</u> - This section conflicts with section 72 of the Ordinance and should be amended or eliminated and your committee so recommends.

<u>Section 43</u> - This section paraphrases sections 66, 67 and 68 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends the section be eliminated as unnecessary and confusing.

<u>Section 44 and 45</u> - These sections are a paraphrase of section 70 of the Ordinance and are confusing. Your committee recommends the section be re-written to amplify the Ordinance and reflect it instead of paraphrasing it and creating confusion.

<u>Section 46</u> - This section attempts to restrict the application of sections 72 and 77 of the Ordinance and is therefore in conflict with the Ordinance. Your committee recommends this section be amended or eliminated immediately.

Sections 47 and 48 - No recommendation

<u>Section 49</u> - This section is required by section 73 of the Ordinance however your committee recommends that the section be reviewed with respect to what will happen if a Deputy or Unit Head doesn't notify the Commission. Your committee further recommends that the section be amended to reflect the policy inherent behind it.

<u>Section 50</u> - This section is authorized by section 209 (2)(g) of the Ordinance, but as it is written it appears to conflict with section 77 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends this section be reviewed and amended.

<u>Section 51</u> - This section paraphrases Section 74 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends it be eliminated as it is confusing and unnecessary.

<u>Section 52</u> - This section is redundant of section 75 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends this section be eliminated as redundant and unnecessary. <u>Sections 53 - 57</u> - These sections contain substantive law affecting employees rights. Your committee recommends that these sections be included in the Ordinance at first opportunity.

<u>Section 58</u> - This section also includes substantive law and should be moved to the Ordinance and your committee so recommends. Your committee further recommends that the criteria required by Section 58 of the Regulations be amplified.

<u>Sections 59 - 72</u> - These sections are substantive law, affecting employees rights and should be in the Ordinance and your committee so recommends. Your committee further recommends that these sections be amplified.

<u>Sections 73 and 74</u> - Your committee offers the same comments as on the immediately preceeding sections. Your committee wishes to comment that with respect to the evaluations, your committee believes all employees should receive copies of evaluations as of right, and that a mechanism for an employee to protest their evaluation be implemented.

Sections 75 - 78 - See comments on sections 59 - 72.

<u>Section 79</u> - This section is a paraphrase of section 120 of the Ordinance and should be eliminated as confusing and your committee so recommends.

<u>Sections 80 - 84</u> - These sections are acceptable as regulations.

<u>Sections 85 - 87</u> - These sections are acceptable as regulations however they are poorly written and unclear. Your committee recommends they be reviewed and redrafted.

<u>Section 88</u> - This section is redundant of sub-section2(2) of the regulations and should be eliminated.

Section 89 - Accentable.

appendix I (8)

<u>Sections 90 - 93</u> - Your committee recommends these sections be reviewed and redrafted for clarity.

<u>Section 94</u> - This section is a paraphrase of section 80 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends it be eliminated as unnecessary and confusing.

<u>Section 95</u> - This section makes substantive law and should be included in the Ordinance.

<u>Section 96 -</u> This section paraphrases section 79 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends it be eliminated as unnecessary and confusing.

<u>Sections 97 and 98</u> - These sections make substantive law and your committee recommends they be included in the Ordinance at first opportunity.

<u>Section 99</u> - This section paraphrases parts of sections 16, 17 and 181 of the Ordinance. The section clearly indicates that the Ordinance was not considered with sufficient care when the regulations were drafted. Your committee recommends this section be eliminated.

<u>Sections 100 and 101</u> - This section creates a conflict with section 81 (2) of the Ordinance by attempting to restrict the application of the Ordinance. Your Committee recommends this section be redrafted or eliminated.

<u>Section 102</u> - Verbatim repetition of section 82 (1) of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends it be eliminated.

<u>Section 103</u> - This section paraphrases section 82 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends its elimination.

<u>Section 104</u> - This section consists of substantive law and should be included in the Ordinance and your committee so recommends. This section is the basis for Commissioner's Order 1976/261 and 1977/215. appendix I (9)

<u>Section 105</u> - This section is general and substantive. Your committee recommends it be included in the Ordinance.

<u>Section 106</u> - This section is confusing when section 83 of the Ordinance is read. Your committee recommends it be eliminated or redrafted to prevent a potential conflict.

<u>Section 107</u> - This section is redundant of section 91 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends it be eliminated.

<u>Section 108</u> - This section should be included in the Ordinance as part of section 92.

<u>Sections 109 and 110</u> - These sections are substantive and should be included in the Ordinance for clarity and continuity.

<u>Section 111</u> - This section unnecessarily paraphrases sections 93 and 94 of the Ordinance.

<u>Section 112</u> - This section unnecessarily paraphrases sections 90 and 91[.] of the Ordinance.

<u>Section 113</u> - This section paraphrases section 92 of the ordinance unnecessarily. Your committee recommends sections 111-113 be removed as redundant.

<u>Section 114</u> - This section is necessary for continuity however your committee recommends it be included in the Ordinance.

<u>Section 115</u> - This section attempts to restrict the power of the Public Service Commissioner. Your committee recommends the Ordinance be amended if this restriction is in fact required, instead of attempting to amend the Ordinance by regulation.

Section 116 - This section contains substantive law and should be included in the Ordinance.

appendix I (10)

<u>Section 117</u> - This section appears to conflict with section 113 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends it be reviewed and eliminated or redrafted.

<u>Sections 118 and 119</u> - These sections are redundant of sections 114 and 115 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends they be eliminated.

<u>Section 120</u> - This section contains substantive law which should be included in the Ordinance. Your committee further recommends the section be redrafted for clarity.

<u>Section 121</u> - Acceptable, however your committee recommends it be included in the Ordinance for continuity.

<u>Section 122</u> - This section is an unnecessary repetition of section 10 of the Ordinance.

<u>Section 123</u> - Your committee questions the need for this section and Section 122 above and recommends the sections be reviewed.

<u>Sections 124 and 125</u> - These sections contain substantive law and should be included in the Ordinance.

<u>Sections 126 and 127</u> - These sections should refer to section 10 of the Ordinance and should be redrafted.

<u>Section 128</u> - Your committee recommends the form referred to be prescribed as a schedule to these regulations.

<u>Section 129</u> - This section is redundant of section 121 of the Ordinance and should be eliminated.

<u>Section 130</u> - This section makes reference to the appropriate section of the Ordinance however your committee recommends it be reviewed and redrafted.

appendix I (11)

<u>Section 131 and 132</u> - These sections implement sections 124 and 125 of the Ordinance however by ignoring the exception contained in section 125 of the Ordinance your committee considers that a potential conflict exists and recommends the section be redrafted to eliminate it.

<u>Sections 133 and 134</u> - These sections find their authority in section 126 of the Ordinance however your committee considers the wording confusing and recommends it be redrafted.

<u>Section 135</u> - Acceptable

<u>Section 136</u> - This section is a verbatim repetition of section 128 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends it be eliminated.

<u>Sections 137 and 138</u> - The only reference to this subject is in section 209 (2)(u) of the Ordinance. Since these sections contain substantive law affecting employee rights they should be in the Ordinance.

<u>Sections 139 - 141</u> - These sections are redundant of sections 129-131 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends they be eliminated as being unnecessary and confusing.

<u>Section 142</u> - This section is necessary however since it contains substantive law affecting employee rights your committee recommends it be included in the Ordinance.

<u>Section 143</u> - This section conflicts with section 131(2) of the Ordinance and your committee recommends this conflict be removed immediately.

<u>Sections 144 and 145</u> - These sections paraphrase section 131(3) and 132 unnecessarily and should be eliminated.

appendix I (12)

<u>Section 146</u> - This section should be included as part of section 135 of the Ordinance for continuity and your committee so recommends.

<u>Section 147</u> - This section is a paraphrase of section 137 of the Ordinance. As such it is unnecessary and confusing. Your committee recommends it be eliminated.

<u>Section 148</u> - This section paraphrases section 136 of the Ordinance unnecessarily. Your committee recommends it be redrafted for clarity.

<u>Section 149</u> - This section contains substantive law and should be included in the Ordinance. Your committee so recommends.

<u>Sections 150 - 159</u> - These sections contain restrictive substantive law affecting employees rights and obligations. Your committee strongly recommends they be included in the Ordinance.

Your committee further considers these sections of the Regulations implemented under the authority of sub-sections 9(1) and 209(1) of the Ordinance to be an abuse of a general regulation making power.

<u>Sections 160 - 170</u> - The comments on sections 150 - 159 apply here. Your committee further recommends that before the sections are placed in legislation they be thoroughly reviewed to eliminate gaps and provide solutions where the present section 165 of the Regulations is not an acceptable solution. Your committee considers that if such requirements are necessary they must be complete to be effective.

<u>Section 170</u> - This section is vague and does not even attempt to define what constitutes risk. Your committee recommends this section be reviewed, redrafted and the necessary policy decisions made and implemented. appendix I (13)

<u>Sections 171 and 172</u> - These sections attempt to expand powers already contained in sections 139 and 149 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends these sections be reviewed and the Ordinance sections expanded if necessary.

<u>Section 173</u> - This section makes reference to the Ordinance and is clear and concise.

Sections 174 and 175 - Acceptable.

<u>Section 176</u> - This section is totally redundant of section 154 of the Ordinance and as such is unnecessary and should be eliminated.

<u>Section 177</u> - This section paraphrases section 155 of the Ordinance, however the discretionary power of the Public Service Commissioner has been ignored creating a potential legislative conflict. Your committee recommends this section be reviewed and eliminated or amended.

<u>Sections 178 and 179</u> - These sections are redundant of sections 157 and 160 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends they be eliminated.

<u>Section 180</u> - This section contains substantive law affecting employees and as such should be contained in the Ordinance.

<u>Section 181</u> - This section conflicts with sections 161 and 163 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends its immediate review and redrafting.

<u>Section 182</u> - This section paraphrases part of section 161 and is unnecessary. Your committee recommends it be eliminated.

<u>Section 183</u> - This section is acceptable and can be placed either in the Ordinance or in the Regulations.

appendix I (14)

<u>Section 184</u> - This section simply expands on the provision of section 162 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends this section be included in section 162 of the Ordinance and thereby eliminating the need for such a section in the regulations.

<u>Section 185</u> - Acceptable.

<u>Sections 186 and 187</u> - These sections are redundant of sections 162 and 164 respectively of the Ordinance and should be eliminated.

<u>Section 188</u> - This section is necessary due to the drafting of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends that since it contains substantive law the Ordinance be amended and the regulation dropped.

<u>Section 189</u> - This subject is dealt with at sections 168 and 169 of the Ordinance. This section requires redrafting since there is an apparent conflict to the Ordinance with respect to managerial and confidential exclusions. Your committee recommends this sections be reviewed and redrafted.

<u>Section 190</u> - Acceptable. Your committee agrees with the use of sections like this to cross reference the Ordinance and regulations.

<u>Section 191</u> - This section employs a definition employed in the Ordinance. The source should be cited.

<u>Sections 192 - 195</u> - These sections are redundant of sections 87, 88, 89 and 122 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends they be eliminated as unnecessary and confusing. appendix I (15)

<u>Section 196</u> - This section is unnecessarily repetitious of the Ordinance, and conflicts with section 125(4) of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends this section be reviewed and amended.

<u>Section 197</u> - This section is substantive and should be included in the Ordinance since it affects employees and their possible dismissal.

<u>Section 198</u> - Your committee questions why the "policies" mentioned here are not at least generally defined and recommends this section be reviewed with a view to specifying these "policies".

<u>Sections 199 - 201</u> - These sections are substantive and affect casual employees severly. Your committee recommends they be reviewed, particularly section 200, where the phrase "regardless of reason" is confusing since no reason is in fact needed and consideration be given to including these sections in the Ordinance.

Sections 202 and 203 - These sections are redundant of sections 183 and 184 of the Ordinance. Your committee recommends they be eliminated.

<u>Section 204</u> - This section is very different from previous law and could substantially affect the position of a "casual employee". Your committee recommends that if such a section is necessary it be clearly stated in the Ordinance not placed in regulations.

<u>Sections 205 - 207</u> - These sections are useful cross-references to the Ordinance and with the present style and content of the Ordinance and its regulations are necessary.